

From: [Perry Lynne](#)
To: [Cora, Lori](#)
Subject: RE: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria
Date: Thursday, February 05, 2015 12:26:40 PM

Hi Lori—I understand that EPA will be delivering FS section 2 to the LWG tomorrow. We would appreciate a copy of (or link to) that section as well. Many thanks. Lynne

Lynne Perry
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1515 SW Fifth Ave. Suite 410
Portland, OR 97201
971-673-1956 (dir)
503-269-5007 (mobile)
lynne.perry@doj.state.or.us

From: Cora, Lori [mailto:Cora.Lori@epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, October 29, 2014 11:38 AM
To: Perry Lynne
Cc: Koch, Kristine
Subject: FW: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria

Hello, Lynne. I received your email from 10/22 seeking an update on your previous request for the screening effort on the entire Portland Harbor site. Attached is a previous email I sent regarding when more detail about technology screening criteria will be available. Once EPA's modifications to the FS are provided to the LWG, we can provide to DSL.

Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | cora.lori@epa.gov

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Cora, Lori
Sent: Tuesday, June 24, 2014 3:39 PM
To: Perry Lynne
Subject: RE: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria

Hi, Lynne. It seems the level of information DSL wants won't be available until the FS alternatives analysis is done. Maybe other earlier chapters of the FS will also contain some info on capping specifications. I can talk with Kristine whether we can provide DSL with the draft analysis when it is given to the LWG. Maybe DSL can attend meetings we have with the LWG? Or have a separate meeting with DSL then.

Relative to design issues you list, the ROD may give some information to answer your questions just don't know.

Lori Houck Cora | Acting Unit 2 Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | cora.lori@epa.gov

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

From: Perry Lynne [<mailto:Lynne.Perry@doj.state.or.us>]
Sent: Monday, June 09, 2014 11:03 AM
To: Cora, Lori
Subject: FW: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria

Hi Lori—Just following up on DSL's request for more information, in e-mail below. Many thanks.
Lynne

Lynne Perry
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1515 SW Fifth Ave. Suite 410
Portland, OR 97201
971-673-1956 (dir)
503-269-5007 (mobile)
lynne.perry@doj.state.or.us

From: Perry Lynne
Sent: Tuesday, May 27, 2014 9:43 AM
To: Cora, Lori (Cora.Lori@epa.gov)
Cc: Pyle Donald H; Lloyd Diane; MARTOS Pablo
Subject: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria

Hi Lori:

DSL is interested in getting more information on a couple items in advance of a meeting:

- (1) EPA technology screening:
 - a. More detailed information on both the criteria and application of the criteria beyond that presented in the PowerPoint you forwarded;
 - b. Application of the EPA criteria on an SMA, AOPC or River Mile basis. The power point includes some examples that compare the application of the EPA and LWG screening criteria at a few sites, and depiction of the entire site. DSL is interested in reviewing the results at the level of detail shown in the examples, but for all sites not just the few in the presentation.
 - c. Any comments from LWG (as a group or individually) regarding the EPA's proposed criteria;

- d. A description of next steps, including how the initial application of EPA's proposed criteria will be further refined (e.g. refined to eliminate or connect tiny scattered areas in which capping scored highest).
- (2) Information on minimum design and engineering specs for caps (or caps of a certain size or in a certain location). If these aren't available, what is EPA's anticipated approach to developing them? This is needed to help DSL understand the extent to which capping could affect public trust uses of the waterway. For example, will capped areas need restrictions on fishing, anchoring, driving piling, etc?
- (3) Design Stage:
 - a. Any information on the latitude EPA anticipates a performing party would have at the design stage.
 - b. Any information on how much review time and input/comment opportunity both DEQ and DSL may have in the design stage for any given SMA's remedy, as landowner, before a design is selected.

Many thanks. Lynne

Lynne Perry
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Natural Resources Section
Oregon Department of Justice
1515 SW Fifth Ave. Suite 410
Portland, OR 97201
971-673-1956 (dir)
503-269-5007 (mobile)
lynne.perry@doj.state.or.us

From: Cora, Lori [<mailto:Cora.Lori@epa.gov>]
Sent: Wednesday, May 07, 2014 8:48 AM
To: Perry Lynne
Subject: Portland Harbor Technology Screening Criteria

Hello, Lynne. Do DSL representatives want to meet with EPA on the technology screening or any other topic?

Lori Houck Cora | Assistant Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency | Region 10
P: (206) 553.1115 | F: (206) 553.1762 | cora.lori@epa.gov

Follow @EPAnorthwest on Twitter! <https://twitter.com/EPAnorthwest>

*****CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE*****

This e-mail may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise exempt from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the addressee or it appears from the context or otherwise that you have received this e-mail in error, please advise me immediately by reply e-mail, keep the contents confidential, and immediately delete the message and any attachments from your system.
